Interview Summary

Application No. 09/049,695

Applicant(s)

Billing-Medel et al

Examiner

Karen Canella

Art Unit 1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Karen Canella (3)	
(2) Lisa Mueller (4)	
Date of Interview Jun 16, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes e) \boxtimes No. If yes, brief description:	
	<u> </u>
Claim(s) discussed: pending	
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was any other comments: The examiner is withdrawing the shortened statutory period of thirty days and instating a 3 month shortened statutory period for reply from the mailing date of Paper No. 35, as the thirty day period was an inadvertent error.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claim available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	claims s allowable is
i) 🗷 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box i	s checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office actional already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attempts.	n has OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required